# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI <u>AT KANSAS CITY</u>

PO	LICE NO.:	KC2303	32243
PROSECU	TOR NO.:	095474	875
	OCN:	HU0127	764
STATE OF MISSOURI,			)
	PLAI	NTIFF,	)
vs.			)
MAURICE P JACQUES			)
9351 Bales Dr., Apt. 1108			) CASE NO. 2316-CR
Kansas City, MO 64132			) DIVISION
DOB: 02/15/1984			)
Race/Sex: W/M			
			)
	DEFEN	DANT.	)
	COMP	LAIN	Γ

# Count I. Murder 2nd Degree (565.021-001Y20200903.0)

WARRANT REQUESTED

The Prosecuting Attorney of the County of Jackson, State of Missouri, upon information and belief, charges that the defendant, in violation of Section 565.021, RSMo, committed the class A felony of murder in the second degree, punishable upon conviction under Section 558.011, RSMo, in that on or about on or about May 22, 2023, in the County of Jackson, State of Missouri, the defendant knowingly or with the purpose of causing serious physical injury to Nykolett A Schroeder, caused the death of Nykolett A Schroeder by shooting her.

An individual convicted and sentenced for this offense shall not be eligible for parole until eighty-five percent of the sentence is served.

## **Count II. Armed Criminal Action (571.015-001Y20205213.0)**

The Prosecuting Attorney of the County of Jackson, State of Missouri, upon information and belief, charges that the defendant, in violation of Section 571.015, RSMo, committed the felony of armed criminal action, punishable upon conviction under Section 571.015.1, RSMo, in that on or about on or about May 22, 2023, in the County of Jackson, State of Missouri, the defendant committed the felony of Murder in the Second Degree charged in Count I, all allegations of which are incorporated herein by reference, and the defendant committed the foregoing felony of Murder in the Second Degree by, with and through, the knowing use, assistance and aid of a deadly weapon.

## State vs. Maurice P Jacques

The facts that form the basis for this information and belief are contained in the statement(s) of facts filed contemporaneously herewith, made a part hereof, and submitted as a basis upon which this court may find the existence of probable cause.

Wherefore, the Prosecuting Attorney prays that an arrest warrant be issued as provided by law.

### JEAN PETERS BAKER

Prosecuting Attorney Jackson County, Missouri by,

/s/ Shiante McMahon

Shiante McMahon (#66613) Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 415 East 12th Street Floor 7M Kansas City, MO 64106 (816) 881-3831 SMcMahon@jacksongov.org

## WITNESSES:

- 1. Atty. Office, 415 E 12th St, Floor 11, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 2. CST Allison Bennett, 1125 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 3.

, Kansas City, MO 64106

- 5. Office, 415 E 12th St, Floor 11, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 6. CST Kelli Sharp, 2645 Brooklyn, Kansas City, MO 64127
- 7. CST Marisa Smith, 1125 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 8. DET Nathan S. VanVickle, 1125 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 9. PO Breckenridge R Wade, 1215 Locust, Kansas City, MO 64106
- 10. CIV Robin Wright, 2645 Brooklyn Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64127

### PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT FORM

CRN: KC23032243

I, Detective Nathan VanVickle, #5169, (Name and identify law enforcement officer	•	<u> </u>
knowing that false statements on this for	m are punis	shable by law, state that the facts contained herein are true.
I have probable cause to believe that on		23 , at 9351 Bales Dr. #1108 in (Address)
Kansas City, <u>Jackson</u> (County)	Missouri	Jacques, Maurice P (Name of Offender(s))
W/M 02/15/1984 (Description of Identity	7)	committed one or more criminal offense(s).

The facts supporting this belief are as follows:

Date: 06/15/2023

On 05/22/2023, at 0253 hours, Officers of the Kansas City, Missouri Police Department were dispatched to 9351 Bales Dr. Apt. #1107, Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri on a reported shooting.

Upon arrival, Officers observed a black female wearing grey sweatpants who was later identified as the victim of the shooting, lying on the ground in the breezeway of 9351 Bales Dr. #1106. The victim appeared to be suffering from an apparent gunshot wound to the middle of the back. The victim was transported to an area hospital where she later succumbed to her injuries.

The death was determined to be a Homicide and the Homicide Unit took over the investigation.

Crime scene processed the original scene and recovered one shell casing that was not near the victim, outside the breezeway, around the corner of the building, and in a grassy area, and could not be determined to be involved. The shell casing also appeared to have been there for a period of time and contained water inside the casing, leading detectives to believe it was not involved. There was an apparent bullet hole in the front door of apartment 1106 that did not exit the door. At the time of the initial processing the bullet was not able to be removed from the door of apartment 1106.

On 05/22/2023, detectives of the Homicide Unit responded back out to the 9351 Bales Dr. location, and conducted an area canvass for additional witnesses with negative results. Contact was made with the occupant of 1106 again and Witness #1 signed consent for the door of her apartment to be removed in order for the bullet be recovered.

While on scene with crime scene who was processing and photographing, a male subject (witness 2) approached apartment 1108, which is which is directly across from apartment 1106. I advised witness 2 that I had knocked on the door and no one was home. He advised that the person is home and he is just afraid to talk to the police. I then asked him if the person inside was involved in the incident and witness 2 said he was. He then went inside to speak with the occupant of the apartment to see if he could get him to talk to us. A short time later the occupant of apartment 1108 opened the door to the apartment and Detectives were invited in.

### PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT FORM

CRN KC23032243

Contact was then made with occupant of the apartment 1108, who is the suspect, Jacques, Maurice P. DOB 02/15/1984 and with witness 2, who had been speaking with Jacques. Witness 2 asked Detectives if someone had broken into the apartment across the hall also. Detectives advised witness 2 they did not know. Witness 2 stated that he had advised Jacques not to make too much of a statement without representation, but to cooperate.

Detectives advised Jacques that he was not in custody and that we were in his apartment and that he could provide a statement if he wished to voluntarily. Jacques agreed to give us his information and provided us with his name and phone number. He then provided Detectives with consent to search his apartment and to recover a spent shell casing that was observed just inside the apartment door. Detectives then asked Jacques what he did with the gun and he stated he put it in his safe and took the magazine out and put it in a bag in the closet. He further stated that he had two guns, and that he used the Glock, not the Hi-Point. The physical evidence shows that the defendant's door was open when he fired the weapon as there was no bullet damage to his front door.

Officers then transported Jacques to Police Headquarters for a statement, where he requested an attorney before making a statement.

Detectives and Crime scene processed Jacques's apartment with his signed consent. Inside the apartment the following items were recovered. A spent FC 9mm Lugar casing was recovered from the inside front door, doorway. A black Hi-Point 9mm handgun was recovered from the nightstand in the Jacques's bedroom. The 9mm Hi-Point had its slide in a locked back position, and with an empty magazine in it. In the nightstand by Jacques's bed was a small safe. Using the key that was provided by Jacques, the safe was opened, and inside a Glock 19 9mm was located, containing no ammo, and no magazine. In the closet of Jacques's room was a small grey bag by the closet door on the floor, which contained live ammo, and three Glock magazines. The front door and backdoor to apartment 1108 were fingerprinted and swabbed for DNA.

On 05/22/2023, witness 2 responded to Police Headquarters to provide a statement regarding what he had been told by Jacques. Upon arrival witness 2 was escorted to the 7th floor, interview room 4 where he provided the following statement: He had received a text from Jacques at approximately 8:41am who told him someone had tried to break into his house and he shot him. He said they tried to get into the back door and he told them to go away and they said no, and they went around to the front door and came into the house and he shot them. He did not say if the door was locked or unlocked. He advised that Jacques told him that he (Jacques) was 4-5 feet inside the door when he shot the victim. During the interview Witness 2 allowed Detectives to take photos of the messages between him and Jacques.

Detectives attended the body exam of the victim, and observed her to have 4 defects, two on her left forearm, one in the chest, and one (exit) in the back. Swabs of the victim were also taken from the victim during the body exam for DNA purposes.

Detectives listened to 911 calls and were able to determine that Jacques called 911 at approximately 0301 hours. While on the phone with the 911 call Jacques states that someone tried to break into his apartment and he shot them. When the call taker asked if someone was shot he stated no. The 911 call taker further asks if he can see anyone out the door and he tells them he can see a body and someone with a flashlight, and someone is saying keep breathing. She asks if he can see the Police and he tells her no. She advises him that the Police are the ones he sees outside. Jacques never contacts the Officers outside at the time of the original call and does not contact Police until witness 2 comes over at approximately 0920 hours.

### PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT FORM

CRN	$\mathbf{V}$	$\alpha$		2	$\gamma \gamma$	1	2
CKIN	$\mathbf{r}$	しとら	U	כו	$\angle Z$	4	.)

Detectives spoke with subject 2, the victim's mother, who advised that the victim would call her every day, however she did not believe the victim had a phone, and that she would use other peoples phones to call. She further stated that the victim was homeless and had been staying with different friends off and on. No phone was found with the victim. Subject 2 stated that they used to have family that lived in the apartment complex where the victim was killed but they no longer lived there and she believes the victim was aware that the family no longer lived at the complex. She stated it has been close to a year since any family lived in that apartment complex.

During the investigation, Detectives received a call from subject 1 who advised that her husband had missed calls/messages from the victim on 05/22/2023 between the hours of 0140-0237. Subject 1 advised that the phone number the victim was calling from was 816-523-0507. A computer check of the phone number showed to be a Conoco gas station located at 8505 Woodland Avenue Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri. Subject 1 advised that the victim had been staying with them off and on and they would help her when they could.

The leasing office for the apartment complex was contacted and confirmed the victim did not have any leases at the complex and was not a resident.

Detectives responded out to the Conoco located at 8505 Woodland Avenue and were given consent to review their surveillance video. The video showed the victim at the location using the phone on May 22, 2023, and at approximately 0235 hours the victim gets picked up by a maroon Honda SUV with a Temp Tag. At this time the driver of the Maroon Honda SUV has not been identified or interviewed.

On 06/15/2023, Detectives contacted witness 1 to follow up on information she had provided to Officers on the original morning of the shooting. Witness 1 advised that an unknown black male had knocked at their door and was just standing there about 5 minutes prior to the shooting. She stated that they did not answer the door because they couldn't tell who it was and she has been having issues with her brother. She stated a couple days after the shooting around 0400 hours another unknown black male knocked on their door, and they again did not answer. Detectives asked about a comment she made to officers about the victim looking like a female she had called the police on about a month before the shooting and she stated that there were two females that lived together upstairs and they had been involved in a domestic violence situation and she called the police. Upon speaking to witness 1 further it was determined that neither female from the previous incident were the victim of this incident.

As of 06/15/2023, Jacques has not reached back out to Detectives with an attorney to provided a statement.

Printed Name	Det. Nathan VanVickle #516	i9	Signature /s/ Det. ]	Nathan VanVickle #5169	
The Court finds	probable cause and directs the	e issuance	of a warrant this	day of	
		Judge	2		
	Circuit Court of		County State	of Missouri	